

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON
PORTLAND DIVISION

J.M., A.S., and R.L.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

KARLA MAJOR et al.,

Defendants.

No. 3:18-cv-00918-YY

OPINION AND ORDER

MOSMAN, J.,

On December 26, 2018, Magistrate Judge Youlee Yim You issued her Findings and Recommendation (F&R) [37], recommending that Plaintiff's Motion to Remand [27] should be GRANTED and that this case be remanded back to state court. No objections were filed.

DISCUSSION


The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F&R to which no objections are addressed. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328

F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F&R depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject, or modify any part of the F&R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

Upon review, I agree with Judge You's recommendation and I ADOPT the F&R [37] as my own opinion. Plaintiff's Motion to Remand [27] is GRANTED and this case is remanded back to Multnomah Circuit Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 16 day of January, 2019.


MICHAEL W. MOSMAN
Chief United States District Judge